

LAW OFFICES OF
McGINN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, PLLC

A PROFESSIONAL LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY
PATENTS, TRADEMARKS, COPYRIGHTS, AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW
8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 200

VIENNA, VIRGINIA 22182-3817

TELEPHONE: (703) 761-4100

FACSIMILE/DATA: (703) 761-2375; 761-2376

E-MAIL: MCGINNGIBB @ AOL.COM / ADMIN @ MCGINNIPLAW.COM

SENDER'S E-MAIL: P MILLER @ MCGINNIPLAW.COM

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NOV 22 2005

SEAN M. MCGINN
PHILLIP E. MILLER*
FREDERICK E. COOPERRIDER*
JAMES E. HOWARD*
JAMES N. DRESSER
JOHN J. DRESCH
SCOTT M. TULINO
J. BRADLEY WRIGHT*

*MEMBER OF BAR OTHER THAN VA

November 22, 2005

VIA FACSIMILE
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE

To: Examiner Michail Kornakov
Group Art Unit No. 1746
U. S. P. T. O.

Facsimile No. 571-273-8300

From: Phillip E. Miller

Facsimile No. 703-761-2375

Re: Filing of Response and Request for Reconsideration
U. S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/935,699
Our Ref: NGB.172

Dear Examiner:

Enclosed please find an Response and Request for Reconsideration in response to the
Office Action dated August 23, 2005 in the above-identified Application.

Thank you in advance for your kind consideration of this case.

Very truly yours,



Phillip E. Miller

PEM/sb
Enclosure

Serial No. 09/935,699
Docket No. T36-135964M/RS

1

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

Uemura et al.

Serial No.: 09/935,699

Group Art Unit: 1733

Filed: August 24, 2001

Examiner: Kornakov, Michail

For: METHOD FOR MANUFACTURING A GROUP III NITRIDE COMPOUND
SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE

Honorable Commissioner of Patents
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
Box AF

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
NOV 22 2005

RESPONSE AND
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated August 23, 2005, Applicant states as follows:

REMARKS

Claims 21-24 and 31-38 are all the claims presently pending in the application.

Claims 21-23, 32 and 34-38 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as allegedly being anticipated by Maniar et al. (U. S. Patent No. 5,525,542). Claim 31 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) or alternatively under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Maniar. Claim 33 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Maniar.

These rejections are respectfully traversed in view of the following discussion.

I. THE CLAIMED INVENTION

The claimed invention, as recited in claim 21, is directed to a method for manufacturing a group III nitride compound semiconductor device, including irradiating a